UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,931	04/08/2004	Gary J. Pond	4285.18554	8749
	7590 05/16/200 HOLZ & MANION, S.		EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	BOX 26618		BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

٠		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/820,931	POND, GARY J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melba Bumgarner	3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as a soint of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	I. It is a series that the mailing date of this communication In (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□ Dispositi 4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E on of Claims Claim(s) 1-5 and 9 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, profix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 ation. wn from consideration. r election requirement.	53 O.G. 213.	S		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice Notice Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "matingley connected said handpiece". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 9 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed limitation of the elongated body having "unitary, one-piece construction" is not described in the specification.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,931 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

6. Claims 1-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are directed to an adaptor; however, the adaptor (piece) is claimed to be connected to both the handpiece and the tip, it is unclear whether the handpiece and tip are intended to be positively claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 3-5, and 9 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Feine (6,164,968). Feine discloses an adaptor comprising an elongated body having unitary, one-piece construction, a distal end 38 and a proximal end 36, the proximal end shown securely matingly connected to the handpiece and distal end securely matingly connected to the tip, a through bore 42 located within the body, the through bore shown in fluid communication with the conduit of the handpiece and through bore of the tip (figure 4). The adaptor is plastic (column 4 line 8). The adaptor is autoclavable (column 5 line 20). The adaptor comprises means for connecting to dental handpiece 32 and means for connecting to irrigation tip 56.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim 2 is rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feine in view of Sharp et al. (6,086,369). Feine discloses an adaptor that shows the limitations as described above; however, Feine does not show a means for restricting ultrasonic vibrations. Sharp et al. teach an ultrasonic dental scaler comprising a means for restricting ultrasonic vibrations 29. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the means of Sharp et al. in order to dampen flexural vibrations and make the motion of the tip less erratic in view of Sharp et al.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed February 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/820,931

Art Unit: 3732

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner
Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner